IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

CLERK'S MINUTES

BEFORE DISTRICT JUDGE JAMES O. BROWNING

Case No.: 15-901 JB/WPL **Date:** January 13, 2016

CASE CAPTION: Trujillo v. Rio Arriba Cty., et al.

CRD: N/A – minutes **Court Reporter:** J. Bean

prepared by K. Wild based on transcript

COURT IN SESSION: 8:32 a.m. **COURT IN RECESS:** 8:45 a.m. = :13

Type of Proceeding: Initial Scheduling Conference

Court's Ruling/Disposition: see below

ORDER CONSISTENT WITH COURT'S RULING TO BE PREPARED BY: Initial Scheduling Order to the

entered by Court

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF(S): ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT(S):

Joseph Kennedy Sabrina Rodriguez-Salvato

PROCEEDINGS

COURT IN SESSION: 8:32 a.m.

Court: Calls case. Counsel enter appearances.

Court: Have reviewed JSR/PDP; asks if there is anything counsel wish to tell Court about case

that might impact scheduling?

Counsel inform there is not.

Court: Provides deadlines to be contained in Scheduling Order. Explains how handles trailing

docket. Asks when want settlement conference.

Mr. Kennedy: Near close of discovery – April or May.

Ms. Salvato: No opposition.

Court: If prefer different magistrate judge for settlement conference let Court know. Explains how handles discovery. Suggests post- meet and confer pre-motion conferences - do not require. If file early motions call CRD for hearing ASAP. If need *Daubert* deadlines and cannot agree let CRD

Case 1:15-cv-00901-JB-WPL Document 15 Filed 01/13/16 Page 2 of 2

know for Court to resolve – prefer to have *Daubert* issues resolved before trial. Asks where counsel want to try case?

Mr. Kennedy: If can get courtroom, in Santa Fe.

Ms. Salvato: ABQ is fine.

Court: When time to discuss a firm setting with CRD, Plaintiff's counsel should remind CRD want to try in Santa Fe – will run on ABQ docket. Provides disclosure re: April White. Anything further? Counsel inform there is not.

COURT IN RECESS: 8:45 a.m.